

British Columbia Medical Association

June 14, 2019

Mr. Mark MacKinnon Executive Director, Professional Regulation and Oversight Ministry of Health 1515 Blanshard Street Victoria BC

By e-mail: PROREGADMIN@gov.bc.ca

Re: Cayton Report

Dear Mr. MacKinnon,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback on Part Two of *An Inquiry into the Performance of the College of Dental Surgeons of British Columbia and the Health Professions Act* (the Cayton Report). Part Two of the Cayton Report proposes a range of changes to existing legislation as well as wider reform of the overall regulatory framework for health professions in British Columbia. In essence, all of these proposed changes are aimed at protecting the health and safety of patients – a goal that aligns with Doctors of BC's Strategic Framework. Our goals as an association include 'achieving the highest standard of health care' which requires, among other things, a system that focuses on quality. Our goals also include 'achieving a favourable social, political and economic environment' which requires, among other things, public confidence in the medical profession. Doctors of BC is therefore supportive of efforts to hold physicians and allied health care providers to a high standard.

Given the relatively short timeframe for providing comments on the Cayton Report, we have not had an opportunity to canvass all of our members for their feedback. However, our Board of Directors has considered the Report and developed initial views on the suggestions put forward by Mr. Cayton. The Government Steering Committee considering the Cayton Report has indicated it may take a phased approach to consultation which may include future consultation on specific decisions or options. Doctors of BC strongly encourages the Steering Committee to adopt such an approach as this will give stakeholders more clarity on the direction the government is likely to take and will allow for more comprehensive and definitive feedback.

The first group of recommendations in Part Two of the Cayton Report relates to changes to the existing *Health Professions Act*. At this stage it is not clear whether the Steering Committee intends to consider these changes or whether it will focus on the second group of recommendations proposing wider regulatory reform. Doctors of BC considers that the Steering Committee should prioritize reviewing Cayton's proposed amendments to the *Health Professions Act* as this would be the least time consuming and most cost effective option. A timeframe could be set for reviewing whether these changes have achieved the goal of improved safety and public protection and, if not, the government could then consider making wider reforms. For the purposes of this submission we have considered both groups of recommendations separately.

T 604 736 5551 TF 1800 665 2262 115—1665 West Broadway Vancouver BC V6J 5A4

doctorsofbc.ca

Amendments to the Health Professions Act

Doctors of BC is generally supportive of the changes proposed to the existing *Health Professions Act*. However, some of the recommendations are described in the Cayton Report at a relatively high level and much more detail is required before we can provide a definitive view. We have set out Doctors of BC's initial views on each group of proposed changes to the Act using the same section headings as those set out in the Report.

Proposed Change to HPA	Doctors of BC's Initial View
A New Mandate	Doctors of BC supports amending the 'duty of regulatory
	Colleges' to give priority to the safety of patients.
Governance	Doctors of BC generally supports changes aimed at improving
	the governance of Colleges but we await further details,
	particularly regarding the relationship between Colleges and
	professional associations. There may still be instances where
	lines of communication between Doctors of BC and the College
	of Physicians and Surgeons of BC will be necessary and
	appropriate. It may therefore be prudent to build a formalized
	conduit between the organization into legislation or regulations.
Clarity of Language and Meaning	Doctors of BC supports changes aimed at improving the clarity
within the HPA	of language.
Complaints and Discipline	Doctors of BC generally supports changes to make the
	complaints and disciplinary process more effective, transparent
	and fair. However, we await further details, particularly
	regarding the Registrar's abilities to refer matters for
	extraordinary action and to disclose information in the public
	interest. We are particularly interested in whether there would
	be legislated criteria for when those powers could be exercised.
	We also await details on what the process would be for
	Colleges to develop shared policy on consideration of a
	registrant's past conduct when investigating a complaint. There
	would most likely need to be a backstop built in to address a
	scenario where the Colleges can't agree.
Increase Transparency	Doctors of BC supports the need for all Colleges to adopt best
	practice in terms of openness and transparency. However, we
	await more details on how 'maximum information possible' will
	be defined to ensure that information published about
	complaints is fair and necessary.
Develop the Role of the Health and	Doctors of BC generally supports the additional roles proposed
Professions Review Board	for the HPRB provided there are clear criteria for when it can
	review disciplinary decisions of the Colleges on its own
	account.

Wider Regulatory Reform

As noted above, Doctors of BC considers that the Steering Committee should prioritize consideration of amendments to the existing legislation as a first step. However, if the Committee is minded to focus on wider regulatory reform, Doctors of BC is generally supportive of some of the changes put forward by Cayton. It is worth noting that this section of the Report contains even less specific detail than the section on amendments to the *Health Professions Act*. Given that these proposed changes would have a much greater impact on regulation of health professions we emphasize that significantly more detail would be needed before Doctors of BC and other stakeholders can provide definitive views on wider regulatory reform.

We have set out Doctors of BC's initial views on the four key areas of reform set out in this section of the Cayton Report. We wish to highlight that, at this stage, we are not supportive of the development of a single code of ethics for all health professions. Also, any changes to the process for adjudication of complaints must recognize the importance of the availability and application of profession specific expertise, particularly when clinical competence is in question.

Area of Reform	Doctors of BC's Initial View
Single Code of Ethics for all Health	Doctors of BC considers that this proposal requires significant
Professions	clarification. We question the feasibility of developing a single
	code of ethics given the wide variety of health professions and
	the differing nature of their relationships with patients. We also
	question how easy it would be to get all professions to agree to
	a single code.
New Professional Registration and	Doctors of BC generally supports the establishment of a new
Adjudication Agency	professional registration and adjudication agency but we have
	significant concerns about the development and use of a single
	code of ethics for all health professions (as noted above). We
	are encouraged by the reference to matters of clinical
	competence being judged against standards established by the
	relevant College as we strongly support the individual colleges
	being responsible for setting clinical standards. If this agency is
	established, it would need to ensure that inquiry
	committees/disciplinary panels contain the professional
	expertise required to determine whether standards of clinical
	competence have been met.
New Oversight Body for Health	Doctors of BC generally supports the development of a new
Profession Regulation	oversight body for health profession regulation in BC. However,
	we would like to understand the cost implications of
	establishing an entirely new body as opposed to expanding the
	role of the existing Health Professions Review Board. As noted
	above, we also have concerns about the feasibility of a single
	code of ethics, which this new body would be responsible for
	approving. Also, there is a reference to this body approving the
	range (not content) of professional standards for each College.
	We consider that Colleges should retain a high degree of influence over the range of professional standards so we would
	o 1
	need to understand more about this approval process.

Amalgamation of Colleges	Doctors of BC supports the Ministry encouraging Colleges to
	seek partners for amalgamation, provided that such
	amalgamation remains voluntary.

Doctors of BC values this opportunity to review and comment on Part Two of the Cayton Report and hopes that our feedback will assist the Steering Committee in identifying and prioritizing elements of regulatory modernization. We would welcome further opportunities to provide feedback as the work of the Steering Committee progresses.

Sincerely,

Dr. Kathleen Ross President, Doctors of BC